




*Walton v New York State Dept. of Correctional Servs.*, 8 NY3d 186, 195 [2007]). Petitioner has not demonstrated that doing so would be futile or that irreparable harm would occur absent judicial intervention (see *Bankers Trust Corp. v New York City Dept. of Fin.*, 1 NY3d 315, 322 [2003]; *Mulgrew v Board of Educ. of the City School Dist. of the City of N.Y.*, 88 AD3d 72, 81 [2011]). The alleged "possibility of reputational harm" does not constitute irreparable injury warranting the relief sought by petitioner (*Martinez 2001 v New York City Campaign Fin. Bd.*, 36 AD3d 544, 551 [2007]; see *Mabry v Neighborhood Defender Serv., Inc.*, 88 AD3d 505, 506 [2011]).

THIS CONSTITUTES THE DECISION AND ORDER  
OF THE SUPREME COURT, APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST DEPARTMENT.

ENTERED: MARCH 1, 2012

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Susan R.", is written over a horizontal line.

CLERK